

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,797	04/02/2004	Doru Calin	29250-001068/US	9920
7590 12/21/2005 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
P.O. Box 8910 Reston, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2688	
		DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/815,797	CALIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sharad Rampuria	2688			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 2688

DETAILED ACTION

I. The current office-action is in response to the application filed on 4/2/04.

Accordingly, Claims 1-49 are pending for further examination as follows:

Information Disclosure Statement

II. The Information Disclosure statement (IDS) submitted is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- III. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

IV. Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyer et al. [US 6295450] in view of Celedon et al. [US 20030190916] and further in view of Hellander [US 6445918].

As per claims 1, 20, 37, Lyer teaches:

A method for varying a hand-off base station list (i.e. transmitting to the remote unit, a list comprising a set of base stations from the plurality of neighboring base stations, that are capable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit, the list additionally not including neighboring base stations that are incapable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit wherein the list is utilized by the remote unit for transferring communication within the communication system; see Claim 1; lines 8-16, Abstract, Col.4; 33-40) comprising the steps of:

Measuring real-time traffic flow criteria associated with one or more base stations, the stations included in an adaptable neighbor list of potential hand-off base stations; (i.e. logic unit 123 determines a list of base stations that potentially are able to service remote unit 113. In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, logic unit 123 determines a plurality of neighboring base stations surrounding serving base station 101 (e.g., base station 102 and base station 111); Col.4; 41-67).

Lyer doesn't teach expressly, varying a size of the neighbor list depending on the measured traffic flow criteria. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that varying a size of the neighbor list depending on the measured traffic flow criteria; (i.e. determining the necessity for removing or adding a particular cell in a neighbor list; Pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 10/815,797

Art Unit: 2688

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify

Lyer including varying a size of the neighbor list depending on the measured traffic flow criteria
in order to provide a method of optimizing neighbor lists by <u>automatically</u> removing and adding
cells to overcome the disadvantages of the existing solutions.

Lyer and Celedon don't teach explicitly, enabling or preventing a hand-off between a wireless device and at least one of the base stations on the varied list based on the measured traffic flow criteria. However, Hellander teaches in an analogous art, that enabling or preventing a hand-off between a wireless device and at least one of the base stations on the varied list based on the measured traffic flow criteria. (i.e. In addition, in accordance with mobile-assisted handoff (MAHO) procedures, the serving RBS 16 periodically transmits a neighboring cell list via the serving RBS's digital traffic channel (DTC) (i.e., in a logical subchannel of the DTC, such as the FACCH or SACCH). The neighboring cell list includes an identification of the neighboring cells and the digital control channels (DCCHs) that are associated with those cells. The mobile station 10 uses the information in the neighboring cell list to periodically measure the signal strength of DCCH signals transmitted by RBSs 16 in the neighboring cells. The measurements can be performed during idle timeslots, i.e., timeslots during which the mobile station neither transmits nor receives signals of the ongoing call. Thus, the mobile station 10 is able to identify which one of the neighboring cells would provide the best service at any given time by comparing the signal strength and/or quality of these measurements; Col.4; 29-47) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer and Celedon including enabling or preventing a hand-off between a wireless device and at least one of the

base stations on the varied list based on the measured traffic flow criteria in order to provide a method in particular to saving dropped calls in the mobile telecommunications environment.

Page 5

As per claims 2, 21, 38, the above combinations teaches all the particulars of the claim except the step of varying the size of the neighbor list so that the size is set below an initial size to prevent a return to an overload traffic condition. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that the method as in claims 1, 20, 37 respectively, further comprising the step of varying the size of the neighbor list so that the size is set below an initial size to prevent a return to an overload traffic condition. (Pg.2; 0024)

As per claims 3, 22, Lyer teaches:

The method as in claims 1, 20, respectively, further comprising the step of maintaining an initial neighbor list and generating an adaptable neighbor list of potential hand-off base stations based on traffic flows. (Col.4; 13-32)

As per claims 4, 23, the above combinations teach all the particulars of the claim except the step of varying the size of the adaptable neighbor list without requiring human intervention. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that the method as in claims 1, 20, respectively, further comprising varying the size of the adaptable neighbor list without requiring human intervention. (i.e. The present invention optimizes a neighbor list for a particular cell in a cellular telecommunications network by <u>automatically</u> removing unnecessary cells and adding necessary neighbor-cells to the cell's neighbor list when warranted; Pg.2; 0022)

As per claims 5-6, 24-25, 39-40, the above combinations teaches all the particulars of the claim except decreasing/increasing the size of the adaptable neighbor list as the traffic flow criteria worsens/improves. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that the method as in claims 1, 20, 37 respectively, further comprising decreasing/increasing the size of the adaptable neighbor list as the traffic flow criteria worsens/improves. (Pg.2; 0028)

As per claims 7, 26, the above combinations teach all the particulars of the claim except the number of base stations included in the adaptable neighbor list of potential hand-off base stations is less than a maximum number of base stations included in an initial neighbor list. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that the method as in claims 1, 20, respectively, wherein the number of base stations included in the adaptable neighbor list of potential hand-off base stations is less than a maximum number of base stations included in an initial neighbor list. (Pg.3; 0037)

As per claims 8, 27, Lyer teaches:

The method as in claims 1, 20, respectively, further comprises the step of forwarding the varied, adaptable neighbor list to the wireless device. (113; Fig.1, Col.4; 12-15)

As per claim 9, Lyer teaches:

The method as in claim 1 wherein the wireless device is operable to enable the hand-off. (Col.4; 27-32)

As per claim 10, Lyer teaches:

The method as in claim 1 wherein the at least one base station on the varied list is operable to enable the hand-off. (Col.4; 41-46)

As per claims 11, 28, 41, Lyer teaches:

A method for varying a hand-off base station list (i.e. transmitting to the remote unit, a list comprising a set of base stations from the plurality of neighboring base stations, that are capable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit, the list additionally not including neighboring base stations that are incapable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit wherein the list is utilized by the remote unit for transferring communication within the communication system; see Claim 1; lines 8-16, Abstract, Col.4; 33-40) comprising the steps of:

Lyer doesn't teach expressly, setting a neighbor list size associated with the threshold based on the results of the comparison. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that setting a neighbor list size associated with the threshold based on the results of the comparison; (i.e. determining the necessity for removing or adding a particular cell in a neighbor list; Pg.2; 0022, 0024) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer including setting a neighbor list size associated with the threshold based on the results of the comparison in order to provide a method of optimizing neighbor lists by <u>automatically</u> removing and adding cells to overcome the disadvantages of the existing solutions.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,797

Art Unit: 2688

Lyer and Celedon don't teach explicitly, measuring traffic flow criteria of a base station on the list. However, Hellander teaches in an analogous art, that measuring traffic flow criteria of a base station on the list. (i.e. In addition, in accordance with mobile-assisted handoff (MAHO) procedures, the serving RBS 16 periodically transmits a neighboring cell list via the serving RBS's digital traffic channel (DTC) (i.e., in a logical subchannel of the DTC, such as the FACCH or SACCH). The neighboring cell list includes an identification of the neighboring cells and the digital control channels (DCCHs) that are associated with those cells. The mobile station 10 uses the information in the neighboring cell list to periodically measure the signal strength of DCCH signals transmitted by RBSs 16 in the neighboring cells. The measurements can be performed during idle timeslots, i.e., timeslots during which the mobile station neither transmits nor receives signals of the ongoing call. Thus, the mobile station 10 is able to identify which one of the neighboring cells would provide the best service at any given time by comparing the signal strength and/or quality of these measurements; Col.4; 29-47) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer and Celedon including measuring traffic flow criteria of a base station on the list in order to provide a method in particular to saving dropped calls in the mobile telecommunications environment.

Page 8

As per claims 12, 29, 42, the above combinations teach all the particulars of the claim except comparing the measured traffic flow criteria to a plurality of thresholds; and setting the size of the list to a size associated with a last threshold of the plurality of thresholds exceeded by the measured traffic flow criteria. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that the method as in claims 11, 28, 41 respectively, further comprising the steps of: comparing the measured

traffic flow criteria to a plurality of thresholds; and setting the size of the list to a size associated with a last threshold of the plurality of thresholds exceeded by the measured traffic flow criteria. (Pg.2; 0028)

As per claims 13-15, 30-32, the above combinations teach all the particulars of the claim except a value of the threshold may change over time. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that the method as in claims 11, 28, respectively, wherein a value of the threshold may change over time. (i.e. threshold are variable; Pg.3; 0034)

As per claims 16, 33, 43, Lyer teaches:

A method for controlling hand-offs in a base station (i.e. transmitting to the remote unit, a list comprising a set of base stations from the plurality of neighboring base stations, that are capable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit, the list additionally not including neighboring base stations that are incapable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit wherein the list is utilized by the remote unit for transferring communication within the communication system; see Claim 1; lines 8-16, Abstract, Col.4; 33-40) comprising the steps of:

Lyer doesn't teach expressly, controlling the length of a neighboring base station list as a function of the value of the traffic flow criteria. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that controlling the length of a neighboring base station list as a function of the value of the traffic flow criteria; (i.e. determining the necessity for removing or adding a particular cell in a neighbor list; Pg.2; 0022, 0024) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer including controlling the length of a neighboring base station list as a function of the value of the traffic flow criteria in order to provide a method of optimizing neighbor lists by <u>automatically</u> removing and adding cells to overcome the disadvantages of the existing solutions.

Lyer and Celedon don't teach explicitly, measuring, in real-time, traffic flow criteria related to a wireless network. However, Hellander teaches in an analogous art, that measuring, in real-time, traffic flow criteria related to a wireless network. (i.e. In addition, in accordance with mobile-assisted handoff (MAHO) procedures, the serving RBS 16 periodically transmits a neighboring cell list via the serving RBS's digital traffic channel (DTC) (i.e., in a logical subchannel of the DTC, such as the FACCH or SACCH). The neighboring cell list includes an identification of the neighboring cells and the digital control channels (DCCHs) that are associated with those cells. The mobile station 10 uses the information in the neighboring cell list to periodically measure the signal strength of DCCH signals transmitted by RBSs 16 in the neighboring cells. The measurements can be performed during idle timeslots, i.e., timeslots during which the mobile station neither transmits nor receives signals of the ongoing call. Thus, the mobile station 10 is able to identify which one of the neighboring cells would provide the best service at any given time by comparing the signal strength and/or quality of these measurements; Col.4; 29-47) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer and Celedon including measuring, in real-time, traffic flow criteria related to a wireless network in order to provide a method in particular to saving dropped calls in the mobile telecommunications environment.

As per claims 17, 34, 44, Lyer teaches:

A method for use in a wireless network (i.e. transmitting to the remote unit, a list comprising a set of base stations from the plurality of neighboring base stations, that are capable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit, the list additionally not including neighboring base stations that are incapable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit wherein the list is utilized by the remote unit for transferring communication within the communication system; see Claim 1; lines 8-16, Abstract, Col.4; 33-40) comprising the steps of:

Lyer doesn't teach expressly, enabling a base station currently serving a call for a wireless device to hand-off said call to another base station on its neighboring base station list. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that enabling a base station currently serving a call for a wireless device to hand-off said call to another base station on its neighboring base station list; (i.e. determining the necessity for removing or adding a particular cell in a neighbor list; Pg.2; 0022, 0024) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer including enabling a base station currently serving a call for a wireless device to hand-off said call to another base station on its neighboring base station list in order to provide a method of optimizing neighbor lists by <u>automatically</u> removing and adding cells to overcome the disadvantages of the existing solutions.

Lyer and Celedon don't teach explicitly, only when a real-time measurement of a traffic flow criteria meets an acceptable level. However, Hellander teaches in an analogous art, that only when a real-time measurement of a traffic flow criteria meets an acceptable level. (i.e. In addition, in accordance with mobile-assisted handoff (MAHO) procedures, the serving RBS 16

periodically transmits a neighboring cell list via the serving RBS's digital traffic channel (DTC) (i.e., in a logical subchannel of the DTC, such as the FACCH or SACCH). The neighboring cell list includes an identification of the neighboring cells and the digital control channels (DCCHs) that are associated with those cells. The mobile station 10 uses the information in the neighboring cell list to periodically measure the signal strength of DCCH signals transmitted by RBSs 16 in the neighboring cells. The measurements can be performed during idle timeslots, i.e., timeslots during which the mobile station neither transmits nor receives signals of the ongoing call. Thus, the mobile station 10 is able to identify which one of the neighboring cells would provide the best service at any given time by comparing the signal strength and/or quality of these measurements; Col.4; 29-47) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer and Celedon including only when a realtime measurement of a traffic flow criteria meets an acceptable level in order to provide a method in particular to saving dropped calls in the mobile telecommunications environment.

As per claims 18, 35, 45, the above combinations teach all the particulars of the claim except the step of preventing said base station from handing-off said call when said traffic flow criteria does not meet said acceptable level. However, Hellander teaches in an analogous art, that the method as in claims 17, 34, 44, respectively, further comprising the step of preventing said base station from handing-off said call when said traffic flow criteria does not meet said acceptable level. (Col.4; 48-63)

As per claims 19, 36, 46, Lyer teaches:

A method for use in a wireless network comprising the step of (i.e. transmitting to the remote unit, a list comprising a set of base stations from the plurality of neighboring base stations, that are capable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit, the list additionally not including neighboring base stations that are incapable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit wherein the list is utilized by the remote unit for transferring communication within the communication system; see Claim 1; lines 8-16, Abstract, Col.4; 33-40)

Lyer doesn't teach expressly, enabling a first base station to hand-off a call being served by said first base station to a second base station on said first base station's neighboring base station list. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that enabling a first base station to hand-off a call being served by said first base station to a second base station on said first base station's neighboring base station list; (i.e. determining the necessity for removing or adding a particular cell in a neighbor list; Pg.2; 0022, 0024) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer including enabling a first base station to hand-off a call being served by said first base station to a second base station on said first base station's neighboring base station list in order to provide a method of optimizing neighbor lists by <u>automatically</u> removing and adding cells to overcome the disadvantages of the existing solutions.

Lyer and Celedon don't teach explicitly, call is not dropped by said second base station substantially immediately after said hand-off. However, Hellander teaches in an analogous art, that only when a real-time measurement of traffic flow criteria indicates that said second base station can serve said call, whereby said call is not dropped by said second base station

substantially immediately after said hand-off. (i.e. In addition, in accordance with mobileassisted handoff (MAHO) procedures, the serving RBS 16 periodically transmits a neighboring cell list via the serving RBS's digital traffic channel (DTC) (i.e., in a logical subchannel of the DTC, such as the FACCH or SACCH). The neighboring cell list includes an identification of the neighboring cells and the digital control channels (DCCHs) that are associated with those cells. The mobile station 10 uses the information in the neighboring cell list to periodically measure the signal strength of DCCH signals transmitted by RBSs 16 in the neighboring cells. The measurements can be performed during idle timeslots, i.e., timeslots during which the mobile station neither transmits nor receives signals of the ongoing call. Thus, the mobile station 10 is able to identify which one of the neighboring cells would provide the best service at any given time by comparing the signal strength and/or quality of these measurements; Col.4; 29-47 and Col.5; 14-36) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer and Celedon including call is not dropped by said second base station substantially immediately after said hand-off in order to provide a method in particular to saving dropped calls in the mobile telecommunications environment.

As per claims 47-49, Lyer teaches:

A method for varying a hand-off base station list (i.e. transmitting to the remote unit, a list comprising a set of base stations from the plurality of neighboring base stations, that are capable of supporting the current service required by the remote unit, the list additionally not including neighboring base stations that are incapable of supporting the current service required

Page 15

by the remote unit wherein the list is utilized by the remote unit for transferring communication within the communication system; see Claim 1; lines 8-16, Abstract, Col.4; 33-40) comprising:

Lyer doesn't teach expressly, measuring the level of one or more pilot signals, each pilot signal associated with a potential hand-off base station included in an adaptable neighbor list of potential hand-off base stations that has been sized to prevent a return to an overload traffic condition. However, Celedon teaches in an analogous art, that measuring the level of one or more pilot signals, each pilot signal associated with a potential hand-off base station included in an adaptable neighbor list of potential hand-off base stations that has been sized to prevent a return to an overload traffic condition; (i.e. determining the necessity for removing or adding a particular cell in a neighbor list; Pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer including measuring the level of one or more pilot signals, each pilot signal associated with a potential hand-off base station included in an adaptable neighbor list of potential hand-off base stations that has been sized to prevent a return to an overload traffic condition in order to provide a method of optimizing neighbor lists by automatically removing and adding cells to overcome the disadvantages of the existing solutions.

Lyer and Celedon don't teach explicitly, enabling a hand-off between the wireless device and at least one base station on the list when the at least one base station is associated with an acceptable pilot signal level; and preventing a hand-off between the wireless device and at least one base station when the at least one base station is associated with an unacceptable pilot signal or will result in a return to an overload traffic condition. However, Hellander teaches in an analogous art, that enabling a hand-off between the wireless device and at least one base station

on the list when the at least one base station is associated with an acceptable pilot signal level; and preventing a hand-off between the wireless device and at least one base station when the at least one base station is associated with an unacceptable pilot signal or will result in a return to an overload traffic condition. (i.e. In addition, in accordance with mobile-assisted handoff (MAHO) procedures, the serving RBS 16 periodically transmits a neighboring cell list via the serving RBS's digital traffic channel (DTC) (i.e., in a logical subchannel of the DTC, such as the FACCH or SACCH). The neighboring cell list includes an identification of the neighboring cells and the digital control channels (DCCHs) that are associated with those cells. The mobile station 10 uses the information in the neighboring cell list to periodically measure the signal strength of DCCH signals transmitted by RBSs 16 in the neighboring cells. The measurements can be performed during idle timeslots, i.e., timeslots during which the mobile station neither transmits nor receives signals of the ongoing call. Thus, the mobile station 10 is able to identify which one of the neighboring cells would provide the best service at any given time by comparing the signal strength and/or quality of these measurements; Col.4; 29-47) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lyer and Celedon including enabling a hand-off between the wireless device and at least one base station on the list when the at least one base station is associated with an acceptable pilot signal level; and preventing a hand-off between the wireless device and at least one base station when the at least one base station is associated with an unacceptable pilot signal or will result in a return to an overload traffic condition in order to provide a method in particular to saving dropped calls in the mobile telecommunications environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,797

Art Unit: 2688

Conclusion

V. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (9-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free) or EBC@uspto.gov.

Sharad Rampuria Examiner

Art Unit 2688

GEONGE ENG! PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 17

d